

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/059,218		01/31/2002	Koichi Kasahara	111863	6023
25944	7590	12/11/2003		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & E P.O. BOX 1		GE, PLC	ILDEBRANDO, CHRISTINA A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1725	
				DATE MAILED 10/11/0000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
*.							
Office Action Summary		10/059,218	KASAHARA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christina Ildebrando	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH;  cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	eptember 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-5,7,8,11 and 12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3-5,7,8,11 and 12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) a)  * ( 13) A  * ( 3  * ( 14) A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burease the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the firm 7 CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign language processing the process of the priority document is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document i	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been recu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § st sentence of the specification by the series of the specification of the specification of the specification of the specification by the specification of the spe	plication No Inceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. In received. In 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
2) Notice	nt(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "wherein the HC-adsorbent layer includes no noble metals." It is the position of the examiner that the specification as originally filed does not provide sufficient support for this limitation. The specification as originally filed discloses that the HC adsorbent layer contains a noble metal such as Pd or Ag. Refer to [0036]. The specification does not provide any support for the concept now claimed, i.e. a HC adsorbent layer including no noble metals.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "a lower catalyst layer which is composed of a porous carrier carrying only Pd and excluding all other noble metals." It is the position of the examiner that the specification as originally filed does not provide sufficient support for this limitation. The specification as originally filed does provide preferably arrangements of the catalyst layers including Pd contained in the second layer and Pt

Control ( Girlbol: 10)

Art Unit: 1725

and Rh contained in the third layer. Refer to [0038]-[0042]. However, there is insufficient support for the concepts now claimed, i.e. "only Pd" and "excluding all other noble metals."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al.

Yamamoto et al. (US 6,047,544) discloses a catalyst composition useful in the purification of exhaust gases. The reference teaches a catalyst composition comprising a monolithic substrate, a first layer comprising a zeolite hydrocarbon adsorbent formed on the substrate, and a catalyst layer comprising any one of palladium, platinum, and rhodium as a three-way catalyst (column 1, line 62 – column 2, line 5). Specifically, Yamamoto et al. teaches a catalyst composition comprising a substrate, a hydrocarbon adsorbent layer comprising zeolite beta, a second layer comprising palladium, cerium oxide, and alumina, and a third layer comprising rhodium, alumina, and cerium oxide (column 5, lines 35-50 and column 7, line 62 – column 8, line 12). It is taught that platinum may be added to either the second or third layer (column 6, lines 65-68).

Art Unit: 1725

As each and every element of the claimed invention is taught in the prior art as recited above, the claims are anticipated by Yamamoto et al.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 25, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Yamamoto et al. reference, applicant argues that the reference teaches that a mixture of zeolites must be used. Applicant further argues that the Yamamoto et al. reference does not exemplify the claimed combination and therefore does not anticipate the instant claims. However, a reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. Disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or non-preferred embodiments. In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). In this case, the reference clearly teaches that the zeolite may be zeolite beta – mixtures of zeolites are not required by the reference. Also, the reference teaches a catalyst layer containing Pd, Pt, and Rh, wherein Pd may be in one layer and Pt and Rh are mixed in a third layer. Therefore, the reference discloses embodiments which anticipate the instant claims. The rejection is not withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1725

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Ildebrando whose telephone number is (703) 305-0469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5, with Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

CAI

December 2, 2003

Kiley Stoner A. 4. 1725 My Stone 12/4/03 Page 6